

Numerical Methods for Differential Equations

Copy of e-mail Notification

Your article (1219) from Numerical Methods for Differential Equations is available for download

=====

Numerical Methods for Differential Equations Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Dear Author,

Your page proofs are available in PDF format; please refer to this URL address

<http://kwglobal.co.in/jw/retrieval.aspx>

Login: your e-mail address

Password: ----

The site contains 1 file. You will need to have Adobe Acrobat Reader software to read these files. This is free software and is available for user downloading at

<http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html>. If you have the Notes annotation tool (not contained within Acrobat reader), you can make corrections electronically and return them to Wiley as an e-mail attachment (see the Notes tool instruction sheet). Alternatively, if you would prefer to receive a paper proof by regular mail, please contact Birender/Sundeep(e-mail: wileysupport@kwglobal.com, phone: +91 (44) 4205-8888 (ext.310). Be sure to include your article number.

This file contains:

Author Instructions Checklist

Adobe Acrobat Users - NOTES tool sheet

Reprint Order form

Copyright Transfer Agreement

Return fax form

A copy of your page proofs for your article

After printing the PDF file, please read the page proofs carefully and:

- 1) indicate changes or corrections in the margin of the page proofs;
- 2) answer all queries (footnotes A,B,C, etc.) on the last page of the PDF proof;
- 3) proofread any tables and equations carefully;
- 4) check that any Greek, especially "mu", has translated correctly.

Special Notes:

1. Figure(s) _____ are unacceptable for publication. Please supply good quality hard copy (and/or TIFF or EPS files) when you return your page proofs.

Within 48 hours, please fax or e-mail the following to the address given below:

- 1) original PDF set of page proofs,
- 2) print quality hard copy figures for corrections and/or TIFF or EPS files of figures for correction (if necessary),
- 3) Signed Copyright Transfer Agreement,
- 4) Reprint Order form,
- 5) Return fax form

Numerical Methods for Differential Equations

Copy of e-mail Notification

Return to:

Stephanie Lucas, Production Editor
Cadmus Professional Communications
300 West Chestnut Street
Ephrata, PA 17522
U.S.A.
(See fax number and e-mail address below.)


If you experience technical problems, please contact Birender/Sundeep(e-mail: wileysupport@kwglobal.com, phone: +91 (44) 4205-8888 (ext.310). Be sure to include your article number.

If you have any questions regarding your article, please contact me. PLEASE ALWAYS INCLUDE YOUR ARTICLE NO. (1219) WITH ALL CORRESPONDENCE.

This e-proof is to be used only for the purpose of returning corrections to the publisher.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Lucas
Production Editor
Cadmus Professional Communications
E-mail: lucass@cadmus.com
Tel: 1-800-238-3814 X 699
Fax: 717-738-9360



WILEY

Publishers Since 1807

111 RIVER STREET, HOBOKEN, NJ 07030

*****IMMEDIATE RESPONSE REQUIRED*****

Please follow these instructions to avoid delay of publication.

☐ **READ PROOFS CAREFULLY**

- This will be your only chance to review these proofs.
- Please note that the volume and page numbers shown on the proofs are for position only.

☐ **ANSWER ALL QUERIES ON PROOFS** (Queries for you to answer are attached as the last page of your proof.)

- Mark all corrections directly on the proofs. Note that excessive author alterations may ultimately result in delay of publication and extra costs may be charged to you.

☐ **CHECK FIGURES AND TABLES CAREFULLY** (Color figures will be sent under separate cover.)

- Check size, numbering, and orientation of figures.
- All images in the PDF are downsampled (reduced to lower resolution and file size) to facilitate Internet delivery. These images will appear at higher resolution and sharpness in the printed article.
- Review figure legends to ensure that they are complete.
- Check all tables. Review layout, title, and footnotes.

☐ **COMPLETE REPRINT ORDER FORM**

- Fill out the attached reprint order form. It is important to return the form even if you are not ordering reprints. You may, if you wish, pay for the reprints with a credit card. Reprints will be mailed only after your article appears in print. This is the most opportune time to order reprints. If you wait until after your article comes off press, the reprints will be considerably more expensive.

RETURN

- ☐ **PROOFS**
☐ **REPRINT ORDER FORM**
☐ **CTA (If you have not already signed one)**

RETURN WITHIN 48 HOURS OF RECEIPT VIA FAX TO 1-717-738-9360

QUESTIONS?

Stephanie Lucas, Production Editor

Phone: 800-238-3814 ext. 2699

E-mail: lucass@cadmus.com

Refer to journal acronym and article production number

Softproofing for advanced Adobe Acrobat Users – NOTES tool

NOTE: ADOBE READER FROM THE INTERNET DOES NOT CONTAIN THE NOTES TOOL USED IN THIS PROCEDURE.

Acrobat annotation tools can be very useful for indicating changes to the PDF proof of your article. By using Acrobat annotation tools, a full digital pathway can be maintained for your page proofs.

The NOTES annotation tool can be used with either Adobe Acrobat 6.0 or Adobe Acrobat 7.0. Other annotation tools are also available in Acrobat 6.0, but this instruction sheet will concentrate on how to use the NOTES tool. Acrobat Reader, the free Internet download software from Adobe, DOES NOT contain the NOTES tool. In order to softproof using the NOTES tool you must have the full software suite Adobe Acrobat Exchange 6.0 or Adobe Acrobat 7.0 installed on your computer.

Steps for Softproofing using Adobe Acrobat NOTES tool:

1. Open the PDF page proof of your article using either Adobe Acrobat Exchange 6.0 or Adobe Acrobat 7.0. Proof your article on-screen or print a copy for markup of changes.
2. Go to Edit/Preferences/Commenting (in Acrobat 6.0) or Edit/Preferences/Commenting (in Acrobat 7.0) check “Always use login name for author name” option. Also, set the font size at 9 or 10 point.
3. When you have decided on the corrections to your article, select the NOTES tool from the Acrobat toolbox (Acrobat 6.0) and click to display note text to be changed, or Comments/Add Note (in Acrobat 7.0).
4. Enter your corrections into the NOTES text box window. Be sure to clearly indicate where the correction is to be placed and what text it will effect. If necessary to avoid confusion, you can use your TEXT SELECTION tool to copy the text to be corrected and paste it into the NOTES text box window. At this point, you can type the corrections directly into the NOTES text box window. **DO NOT correct the text by typing directly on the PDF page.**
5. Go through your entire article using the NOTES tool as described in Step 4.
6. When you have completed the corrections to your article, go to Document/Export Comments (in Acrobat 6.0) or Comments/Export Comments (in Acrobat 7.0). Save your NOTES file to a place on your harddrive where you can easily locate it. **Name your NOTES file with the article number assigned to your article in the original softproofing e-mail message.**
7. **When closing your article PDF be sure NOT to save changes to original file.**
8. To make changes to a NOTES file you have exported, simply re-open the original PDF proof file, go to Document/Import Comments and import the NOTES file you saved. Make changes and reexport NOTES file keeping the same file name.
9. When complete, attach your NOTES file to a reply e-mail message. Be sure to include your name, the date, and the title of the journal your article will be printed in.



John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
Publishers Since 1807

REPRINT BILLING DEPARTMENT • 111 RIVER STREET • HOBOKEN, NJ 07030

PHONE: (201) 748-8789; FAX: (717) 738-9360

E-MAIL: reprints@wiley.com

PREPUBLICATION REPRINT ORDER FORM

Please complete this form even if you are not ordering reprints. This form **MUST** be returned with your corrected proofs and original manuscript. Your reprints will be shipped approximately 4 weeks after publication. Reprints ordered after printing are substantially more expensive.

JOURNAL: NUMERICAL METHODS VOLUME _____ ISSUE _____

TITLE OF MANUSCRIPT _____

MS. NO. _____ NO. OF PAGES _____ AUTHOR(S) _____

**25 Reprints will be supplied free of charge

REPRINTS 7 X 10

No. of Pages	100 Reprints	200 Reprints	300 Reprints	400 Reprints	500 Reprints
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
1-4	193	354	496	627	747
5-8	246	454	642	814	959
9-12	303	557	782	996	1,178
13-16	385	660	927	1,177	1,392
17-20	468	761	1,073	1,353	1,604
21-24	524	864	1,212	1,540	1,815
25-28	591	967	1,357	1,722	2,035
29-32	672	1,068	1,498	1,910	2,254
33-36	739	1,172	1,647	2,091	2,467
37-40	798	1,274	1,788	2,273	2,679

** REPRINTS ARE ONLY AVAILABLE IN LOTS OF 100. IF YOU WISH TO ORDER MORE THAN 500 REPRINTS, PLEASE CONTACT OUR REPRINTS DEPARTMENT AT (201)748-8789 FOR A PRICE QUOTE.

COVERS

100 Covers - \$90 • 200 Covers - \$145 • 300 Covers - \$200
400 Covers - \$255 • 500 Covers - \$325 • Additional 100s - \$65

☐ Please send me _____ reprints of the above article at..... \$ _____

☐ Please send me _____ Generic covers of the above journal at..... \$ _____

Please add appropriate State and Local Tax {Tax Exempt No. _____} \$ _____

Please add 5% Postage and Handling..... \$ _____

TOTAL AMOUNT OF ORDER** \$ _____

**International orders must be paid in U.S. currency and drawn on a U.S. bank

Please check one: ☐ Check enclosed

☐ Bill me

☐ Credit Card

If credit card order, charge to: ☐ American Express

☐ Visa

☐ MasterCard

☐ Discover

Credit Card No. _____ Signature _____ Exp. Date _____

Bill To:

Ship To:

Name _____

Name _____

Address/Institution _____

Address/Institution _____

Purchase Order No. _____ Phone _____ Fax _____

E-mail: _____



111 RIVER STREET, HOBOKEN, NJ 07030

Telephone Number:

• Facsimile Number:

To: Stephanie Lucas, Production Editor

Company: Cadmus Professional Communications

Phone: 800-238-3814 ext. 699

Fax: 717-738-9360

From: _____

Date: _____

Pages including this cover
page: _____

Message:

Re:



A Highly Accurate Collocation Trefftz Method for Solving the Laplace Equation in the Doubly Connected Domains

Chein-Shan Liu

*Department of Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering, Taiwan Ocean University,
Keelung, Taiwan*

Received 9 November 2006; accepted 5 March 2007

Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

DOI 10.1002/num.20257

AQ1

A highly accurate new solver is developed to deal with the Dirichlet problems for the 2D Laplace equation in the doubly connected domains. We introduce two circular artificial boundaries determined uniquely by the physical problem domain, and derive a Dirichlet to Dirichlet mapping on these two circles, which are exact boundary conditions described by the first kind Fredholm integral equations. As a direct result, we obtain a modified Trefftz method equipped with two characteristic length factors, ensuring that the new solver is stable because the condition number can be greatly reduced. Then, the collocation method is used to derive a linear equations system to determine the unknown coefficients. The new method possesses several advantages: mesh-free, singularity-free, non-illposedness, semi-analyticity of solution, efficiency, accuracy, and stability. © 2007 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. Numer Methods Partial Differential Eq 00: 000–000, 2007

Keywords: artificial circles; characteristic length factors; doubly connected domain; Fredholm integral equation; Laplace equation; meshless method

Author Proof

I. INTRODUCTION

Numerical methods are required inevitably to solve the engineering problems governed by the partial differential equations defined in the complicated domains, since under these situations the analytical solutions are usually not available. For the solutions of engineering problems, many well-developed numerical methods such as finite difference method (FDM), finite element method (FEM), and boundary element method (BEM) are widely used. Because the BEM can reduce the dimensionality of the considered problems, it has become an efficient alternative calculational tool to replace the domain-based FDM and FEM. However, there are pitfalls to hamper its efficient implementation. The major disadvantage of BEM originates from its singularities: weak singularity of kernel function, Cauchy principal value singularity, and hypersingularity.

Correspondence to: Chein-Shan Liu, Department of Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering, Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan (email: cslu@mail.ntou.edu.tw)

© 2007 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

2 LIU

For a complicated shape of the problem domain those standard methods usually require a large number of nodes and elements to match the geometrical shape. To overcome those difficulties, the meshless numerical methods are proposed, which are meshes free and only boundary nodes are necessary. Recently, the meshless local boundary integral equation (LBIE) method [1], and the meshless local Petrov–Galerkin (MLPG) method [2] are proposed. Both methods use local weak forms and the integrals can be easily evaluated over circles in 2D problems and spheres in 3D problems.

For problems with complicated domains, the algorithms based on the discretizations of integral equations are often attractive because of the reduced complexity of discretization when compared with the competing approach such as FEM. For this reason there were many researchers devoted to overcome the difficulties arisen from the perplexing singularities in the boundary integral equations. At the first, Landweber and Macagno [3] have proposed a method to get rid of the singularity by subtracting a function from the integrand so that the kernel becomes nonsingular, and then adding back an accurate integration of the function to the integral equation. This method was modified and referred to as the nonsingular boundary integral method in [4, 5], or the desingularized boundary integral method in [6].

Another way to avoid the singularity was proposed in [7–9], which move the computing nodes away from the boundary and outside the real domain of the problem. Even, this new approach can overcome the difficulties of singular integrals, it has another problem of ill-posedness due to the appearance of the first-kind Fredholm integral equations. Alternatively, Young [10] and Young et al. [11] have applied the desingularized boundary integral equation method to the potential problems. In these approaches the source points are located in the real boundary, and they regularized the singular integrals by using the Gauss’ flux theorem and other property derived from the potential theory.

Our starting point is similar to the Trefftz method by using the eigenfunctions expansion. The Trefftz method satisfies the governing equation and the unknown coefficients are determined by satisfying the boundary conditions in some manners as by means of the collocation, the least square or the Galerkin method, etc. [12]. The Trefftz methods are truly meshless, since they can be implemented without either domain or surface meshing.

On the other hand, the method of fundamental solutions (MFS) [13] approximates the solution by a linear combination of fundamental solutions with singularities known as the source points located on a fictitious boundary outside the problem domain. Because the MFS is an inherently meshless boundary method and has exponential convergence property for smooth solutions, it has been used extensively for solving the Laplace equation [14]. Although the MFS can avoid the difficulties associated with the BEM, it still has the problem that the resulting linear equations system may become highly ill-conditioned when the number of source points is increased [15] or when the distances of source points are increased [16].

An improved method than the MFS is the so-called boundary knot method [17, 18] or the boundary collocation method [19, 20]. Instead of the singular fundamental solutions, these methods employed the nonsingular kernels to evaluate the homogeneous solutions. However, as pointed out by Young et al. [11] the introduction of nonsingular kernels as the radial basis functions may jeopardize the accuracy of solutions as compared with the MFS.

This paper will formulate the Laplace equation in the doubly connected domain by a modified collocation Trefftz method. Many boundary-type methods are inefficient for the non-smooth boundary curves; however, the new method is still applicable for such type boundary curves. Owing to these good properties the new method by using the modified Trefftz functions and the collocation method to determine unknown coefficients can be easily used to derive the meshless numerical method of the semi-analytical type.

II. THE PROBLEM IN DOUBLY CONNECTED DOMAIN

The problem of Laplace equation in a doubly connected domain is formulated by imposing the Dirichlet data at an exterior boundary and at an interior boundary:

$$\Delta u = u_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}u_r + \frac{1}{r^2}u_{\theta\theta} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$u(r_3, \theta) = h_3(\theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, \quad (2)$$

$$u(r_4, \theta) = h_4(\theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, \quad (3)$$

where $h_3(\theta)$ and $h_4(\theta)$ are given functions, and both $r_3 = r_3(\theta)$ and $r_4 = r_4(\theta)$ are simple curves with $r_4 = r_4(\theta)$ inside $r_3 = r_3(\theta)$, i.e., $r_4(\theta) < r_3(\theta)$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. Let $\Gamma_3 := \{(r, \theta) | r = r_3(\theta), 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$ and $\Gamma_4 := \{(r, \theta) | r = r_4(\theta), 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$. Here, we do not need to impose any smooth requirement on the boundary curves Γ_3 and Γ_4 .

We replace Eqs. (2) and (3) by the following boundary conditions:

$$u(r_1, \theta) = g(\theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, \quad (4)$$

$$u(r_2, \theta) = f(\theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, \quad (5)$$

where both $g(\theta)$ and $f(\theta)$ are unknown functions to be determined, and $r_2 < r_1$ are constants. The requirement is that the annular with radii r_2 and r_1 can cover the entire doubly connected region, i.e., $r_2 \leq r_4 < r_3 \leq r_1$. The arrangement of these two artificial circles is schematically shown in Fig. 1. For this new setting, we may have a series solution

F1

$$u(r, \theta) = \bar{a}_0 + \bar{b}_0 \ln r + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(\bar{a}_k r^k + \bar{b}_k r^{-k}) \cos k\theta + (\bar{c}_k r^k + \bar{d}_k r^{-k}) \sin k\theta], \quad (6)$$

where the coefficients are fully determined by the boundary conditions (4) and (5) in terms of $f(\theta)$ and $g(\theta)$.

By imposing the conditions (2) and (3) on Eq. (6) we can obtain two first kind Fredholm integral equations [21]:


$$\int_0^{2\pi} K_1^3(\theta, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^{2\pi} K_2^3(\theta, \xi) g(\xi) d\xi = h_3(\theta), \quad (7)$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} K_1^4(\theta, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^{2\pi} K_2^4(\theta, \xi) g(\xi) d\xi = h_4(\theta), \quad (8)$$

where

$$K_1^3(\theta, \xi) = \frac{\ln r_1 - \ln r_3}{2\pi(\ln r_1 - \ln r_2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k^3 \cos k(\theta - \xi), \quad (9)$$

$$K_2^3(\theta, \xi) = \frac{\ln r_2 - \ln r_3}{2\pi(\ln r_1 - \ln r_2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^3 \cos k(\theta - \xi), \quad (10)$$


$$K_1^4(\theta, \xi) = \frac{\ln r_1 - \ln r_4}{2\pi(\ln r_1 - \ln r_2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k^4 \cos k(\theta - \xi), \quad (11)$$

$$K_2^4(\theta, \xi) = \frac{\ln r_2 - \ln r_4}{2\pi(\ln r_1 - \ln r_2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^4 \cos k(\theta - \xi) \quad (12)$$

$$A_k^3 := e_k(r_3^{-k}r_2^k - r_3^k r_2^{-k}), \quad B_k^3 := e_k(r_3^{-k}r_1^k - r_3^k r_1^{-k}), \quad (13)$$

$$A_k^4 := e_k(r_4^{-k}r_2^k - r_4^k r_2^{-k}), \quad B_k^4 := e_k(r_4^{-k}r_1^k - r_4^k r_1^{-k}) \quad (14)$$

$$e_k := \frac{1}{\pi \left[\left(\frac{r_1}{r_2} \right)^k - \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1} \right)^k \right]}. \quad (15)$$

Eqs. (7) and (8) provide the Dirichlet to Dirichlet mappings on the two artificial circles. They are exact boundary conditions; however, it is difficult to directly inverse these two equations to obtain the exact boundary functions $f(\theta)$ and $g(\theta)$. Liu [21] has applied the regularization integral equation method to solve Eqs. (7) and (8), but in this paper, we are going to directly solve a variant of Eq. (6) to obtain the unknown coefficients.

HIGHLY ACCURATE COLLOCATION TREFFTZ METHOD 5

For this purpose we let

$$a_0 = \bar{a}_0, \quad a_k = \bar{a}_k r_1^k, \quad b_k = \bar{b}_k r_2^{-k}, \quad (16)$$

$$b_0 = \bar{b}_0, \quad c_k = \bar{a}_k r_1^k, \quad d_k = \bar{d}_k r_2^{-k}, \quad (17)$$

and thus Eq. (6) can be expressed as

$$u(r, \theta) = a_0 + b_0 \ln r + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(a_k \left(\frac{r}{r_1} \right)^k + b_k \left(\frac{r_2}{r} \right)^k \right) \cos k\theta + \left(c_k \left(\frac{r}{r_1} \right)^k + d_k \left(\frac{r_2}{r} \right)^k \right) \sin k\theta \right], \quad (18)$$

where

$$r_1 \geq \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} r_3(\theta), \quad (19)$$

$$r_2 \leq \min_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} r_4(\theta). \quad (20)$$

Usually, one may take $r_1 = \max r_3(\theta)$ and $r_2 = \min r_4(\theta)$.

The numerical examples given in the next section will explain why the new method is workable. The series expansion in Eq. (18) is well suited to the entire solution domain. Hence, the following admissible functions with finite terms can be used:

$$u(r, \theta) = a_0 + b_0 \ln r + \sum_{k=1}^m [A_k^r a_k + B_k^r b_k + C_k^r c_k + D_k^r d_k], \quad (21)$$

where

$$A_k^r = \left(\frac{r}{r_1} \right)^k \cos k\theta, \quad (22)$$

$$B_k^r = \left(\frac{r_2}{r} \right)^k \cos k\theta, \quad (23)$$

$$C_k^r = \left(\frac{r}{r_1} \right)^k \sin k\theta, \quad (24)$$

$$D_k^r = \left(\frac{r_2}{r} \right)^k \sin k\theta. \quad (25)$$

In Eq. (21) there are totally $4m + 2$ unknown coefficients. Eq. (21) is imposed at different collocated points on two different boundaries with $[r_3(\theta_i), \theta_i] \in \Gamma_3$ and $[r_4(\theta_i), \theta_i] \in \Gamma_4$ to pointwisely match the boundary conditions (2) and (3):

$$a_0 + b_0 \ln r_3(\theta_i) + \sum_{k=1}^m [A_k^{r_3}(\theta_i) a_k + B_k^{r_3}(\theta_i) b_k + C_k^{r_3}(\theta_i) c_k + D_k^{r_3}(\theta_i) d_k] = h_3(\theta_i), \quad (26)$$

$$a_0 + b_0 \ln r_4(\theta_i) + \sum_{k=1}^m [A_k^{r_4}(\theta_i) a_k + B_k^{r_4}(\theta_i) b_k + C_k^{r_4}(\theta_i) c_k + D_k^{r_4}(\theta_i) d_k] = h_4(\theta_i). \quad (27)$$

6 LIU

When the index i in Eqs. (26) and (27) runs from 1 to $2m + 1$ we obtain a linear equations system with dimensions $n = 4m + 2$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \ln r_3(\theta_1) & A_1^{r_3}(\theta_1) & B_1^{r_3}(\theta_1) & C_1^{r_3}(\theta_1) & D_1^{r_3}(\theta_1) & \dots \\ 1 & \ln r_4(\theta_1) & A_1^{r_4}(\theta_1) & B_1^{r_4}(\theta_1) & C_1^{r_4}(\theta_1) & D_1^{r_4}(\theta_1) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \ln r_3(\theta_{2m+1}) & A_1^{r_3}(\theta_{2m+1}) & B_1^{r_3}(\theta_{2m+1}) & C_1^{r_3}(\theta_{2m+1}) & D_1^{r_3}(\theta_{2m+1}) & \dots \\ 1 & \ln r_4(\theta_{2m+1}) & A_1^{r_4}(\theta_{2m+1}) & B_1^{r_4}(\theta_{2m+1}) & C_1^{r_4}(\theta_{2m+1}) & D_1^{r_4}(\theta_{2m+1}) & \dots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ b_0 \\ a_1 \\ b_1 \\ c_1 \\ d_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \\ b_m \\ c_m \\ d_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_3(\theta_1) \\ h_4(\theta_1) \\ \vdots \\ h_3(\theta_{2m+1}) \\ h_4(\theta_{2m+1}) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

We denote the above equation by

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{b}_1,$$

where $\mathbf{e} = [a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, \dots, a_m, b_m, c_m, d_m]^T$ is the vector of unknown coefficients. The conjugate gradient method can be used to solve the following normal equation:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{b}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R}, \quad \mathbf{b} := \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{b}_1. \quad (30)$$

Inserting the calculated \mathbf{e} into Eq. (21) we thus have a semi-analytical solution of $u(r, \theta)$:

$$u(r, \theta) = e_1 + e_2 \ln r + \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\left(e_{4k-1} \left(\frac{r}{r_1} \right)^k + e_{4k} \left(\frac{r_2}{r} \right)^k \right) \cos k\theta + \left(e_{4k+1} \left(\frac{r}{r_1} \right)^k + e_{4k+2} \left(\frac{r_2}{r} \right)^k \right) \sin k\theta \right], \quad (31)$$

where (e_1, \dots, e_n) are the components of \mathbf{e} .

IV. NUMERICAL TESTS AND COMMENTS ON THE NEW METHOD

A. Example 1

We consider a kite-shape outer boundary with the parameterization given by

$$r_3 = \sqrt{(0.6 \cos \theta + 0.3 \cos 2\theta - 0.2)^2 + (0.6 \sin \theta)^2}, \quad (32)$$

HIGHLY ACCURATE COLLOCATION TREFFTZ METHOD 7

$$x_3(\theta) = r_3 \cos \theta, \quad y_3(\theta) = r_3 \sin \theta. \quad (33)$$

For the inner boundary we consider an apple shape described by

$$r_4 = \frac{0.5 + 0.2 \cos \theta + 0.1 \sin 2\theta}{1.5 + 0.7 \cos \theta}, \quad (34)$$

$$x_4(\theta) = r_4 \cos \theta, \quad y_4(\theta) = r_4 \sin \theta. \quad (35)$$

The above two curves are shown in the inset of Fig. 2.

F2

To test our method we consider an exact solution

$$u(r, \theta) = x^2 - y^2 = r^2 \cos 2\theta, \quad (36)$$




FIG. 2. For Example 1: (a) comparing the numerical and exact solutions, and (b) plotting the numerical error.

8 LIU

which however led to very complicated boundary conditions given as follows:

$$h_3(\theta) = u(r_3, \theta) = [(0.6 \cos \theta + 0.3 \cos 2\theta - 0.2)^2 + (0.6 \sin \theta)^2] \cos 2\theta, \quad (37)$$

$$h_4(\theta) = u(r_4, \theta) = r_4^2 \cos 2\theta = \left(\frac{0.5 + 0.2 \cos \theta + 0.1 \sin 2\theta}{1.5 + 0.7 \cos \theta} \right)^2 \cos 2\theta. \quad (38)$$

Under the parameters $r_1 = \max r_3$, $r_2 = \min r_4$, and $m = 15$, we solve this problem by the method in Section III, whose result along a circle with the radius $r = \max r_4$ is shown in Fig. 2(a). Through 546 iterations the solution of \mathbf{e} by Eq. (29) is obtained under a stopping criterion 10^{-15} . The numerical error of u is shown in Fig. 2(b), which can be seen is smaller than 3×10^{-12} . A highly accurate result is obtained as compared with the exact solution.

When we apply the Trefftz method on this problem by using $r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = 1$, and $m = 15$, we find that the solution is unstable as shown in Fig. 2(a) by the dashed-dotted line.

All the computations in this paper are carried out in a PC-586 with pentium-100. For this example, the computations both by the Trefftz method and our new method spent the CPU time smaller than one second. Basically, the most time is spent in the solution of Eq. (29). Under the same stopping criterion, through 467 iterations the solution of \mathbf{e} by Eq. (29) is obtained for the Trefftz method. Because of the small difference of the numbers of iterations of these two methods, the computational times are almost the same. Indeed, the new method does not require any extra effort to prepare a new program; both two methods can use the same program, but with different input parameters of r_1 and r_2 fed in the program.

F3 To observe the stable phenomenon of the new method and the unstable behavior of the Trefftz method we plot the condition number of \mathbf{A} with different number of bases in Fig. 3, which is defined by

$$\text{Cond}(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|. \quad (39)$$

The norm used for \mathbf{A} is the Frobenius norm. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{Cond}(\mathbf{A}) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A})}{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A})} \leq \text{Cond}(\mathbf{A}). \quad (40)$$

where λ is the eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} . Conventionally, $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A})/\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A})$ is used to define the condition number of \mathbf{A} . For the present study we use Eq. (39) to define the condition number of \mathbf{A} .

As mentioned by Kita et al. [12] when one uses the Trefftz boundary type method, the condition number may increase fast as the number of elements increases. It can be seen that the present method can greatly reduce the condition number. Therefore, when the new method is very accurate, the Trefftz method leads to a bad numerical result as shown in Fig. 2(a).

B. Comments on the New Method

After showing the effect of the new method through a numerical example and comparing the condition numbers for the new method and the Trefftz method, it is now a good position to give some comments on the new method.

It is known that for the Laplace equation in the two-dimensional doubly connected domain the set

$$\{1, \ln r, r^{\pm k} \cos k\theta, r^{\pm k} \sin k\theta, k = 1, 2, \dots\} \quad (41)$$

HIGHLY ACCURATE COLLOCATION TREFFTZ METHOD 9




FIG. 3. For Example 1 we comparing the condition number with respect to m for the Trefftz method and the new method.

forms the T-complete functions, and the solution can be expanded by these bases [12,22]:

$$u(r, \theta) = a_0 + b_0 \ln r + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(a_k r^k + b_k r^{-k}) \cos k\theta + (c_k r^k + d_k r^{-k}) \sin k\theta]. \quad (42)$$

This method is named the Trefftz method. It can be seen that the new method is an extension of the Trefftz method. Inserting $r_1 = r_2 = 1$ into Eq. (18), we can recover to the Trefftz method.

Our starting point in Eq. (18) is similar to the Trefftz method. However, the present modification is suggested to use a new set of T-complete bases by

$$\left\{ 1, \ln r, \left(\frac{r}{r_1} \right)^k \cos k\theta, \left(\frac{r}{r_1} \right)^k \sin k\theta, \left(\frac{r_2}{r} \right)^k \cos k\theta, \left(\frac{r_2}{r} \right)^k \sin k\theta, k = 1, 2, \dots \right\}. \quad (43)$$

The above set is a very natural result from the concept of the artificial circles with radii r_1 and r_2 , where two exact boundary conditions can be established by solving Eqs. (7) and (8). The factors of r_1 and r_2 indeed play a major role to stabilize the conventional Trefftz method.

For the Trefftz method the numerical instability is an inherent property, which uses the power functions r^k and $(1/r)^k$ in the bases, a main reason to cause the numerical instability, because r may be greater than 1 or may be smaller than 1. When the problem domain has a larger size with its largest length of the boundary points to the origin being greater than 1, the powers r^k are divergent, and similarly, when the problem domain has a smaller size with its smallest length of

10 LIU

the boundary points to the origin being smaller than 1, the powers $(1/r)^k$ are divergent. They are thus inevitably led to the numerical instability.

But in our modification the situation is drastically different. For the doubly connected problem its domain is $r_4 < r < r_3$. Thus the power functions $(r/r_1)^k$ in Eq. (43) are always smaller than 1 because of Eq. (19), and similarly, the power functions $(r_2/r)^k$ in Eq. (43) are always smaller than 1 because of Eq. (20).

It has been clear that the factors of characteristic lengths r_1 and r_2 ensure the stability of the modified Trefftz method. Through this new modification the condition number of the linear equations system can be greatly reduced as already shown in Fig. 3 for Example 1. For the following other examples this is also true.

To our best knowledge, the new concept does not appear in the literature of the Trefftz method; see, e.g., Kita and Kamiya [12] and Li et al. [22].




FIG. 4. For Example 2: (a) comparing the exact solution and the numerical ones of Trefftz method and new method, and (b) plotting the numerical errors.

C. Example 2

Next we replace the outer boundary by a complex epitrochoid boundary shape

$$r_3(\theta) = \sqrt{(a+b)^2 + 1 - 2(a+b)\cos(a\theta/b)}, \quad (44)$$

$$x(\theta) = r_3 \cos \theta, \quad y(\theta) = r_3 \sin \theta \quad (45)$$

with $a = 4$ and $b = 1$. The inner boundary is four times large of the above kite. The above two curves are shown in the inset of Fig. 4.

F4

Under the parameters $r_1 = \max r_3$, $r_2 = \min r_4$, and $m = 13$, we solve this problem by the method in Section III, whose result along a circle with the radius $r = \max r_4$ is shown in Fig. 4(a) by the dashed line. The numerical error of u is shown in Fig. 4(b), which can be seen is smaller than 5×10^{-11} . A highly accurate result is obtained as compared with the exact solution $u(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$. However, when we apply the Trefftz method on this case under the parameters $r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = 1$ and $m = 13$, the solution as shown in Fig. 4(a) by the dashed-dotted line slightly deviates from the exact solution. It is not accurate when comparing with the new method. The




FIG. 5. For Example 3: (a) comparing the exact solution and the numerical ones of Trefftz method and new method, and (b) plotting the numerical errors.

12 LIU

present method can largely improve the accuracy about ten orders than the Trefftz method when it is applicable. For this example both two methods spent the CPU time smaller than one second.

D. Example 3

We consider the same boundaries as that used in the previous example but with the following closed form solution

$$u(r, \theta) = e^x \cos y = e^{r \cos \theta} \cos(r \sin \theta). \quad (46)$$

The boundary conditions are very complicated for this example.

F5 Under the parameters $r_1 = \max r_3$, $r_2 = \min r_4$, and $m = 20$, we solve this problem by the method in Section III, whose result along a circle with the radius $r = 2$ is shown in Fig. 5(a) by the dashed line. The numerical error of u is shown in Fig. 5(b), which can be seen is smaller than




FIG. 6. For Example 4: (a) comparing the exact solution and the numerical ones of Trefftz method and new method, and (b) plotting the numerical errors.

HIGHLY ACCURATE COLLOCATION TREFFTZ METHOD 13

10^{-6} . However, when we apply the Trefftz method on this example under the parameters $r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = 1$ and $m = 10$, the solution as shown in Fig. 5(a) by the dashed-dotted line slightly deviates from the exact solution. The numerical error of u is very large in the order of 1. For this example both two methods spent the CPU time smaller than one second.

E. Example 4

In this example we consider the Laplace equation in an eccentric annular domain as shown in the inset of Fig. 6, where the inner boundary is simply a unit circle with $r_4 = 1$, and the outer boundary is described by F6

$$r_3(\theta) = \cos \theta + \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta + 21/4}. \quad (47)$$

Under the boundary conditions

$$u(r_4, \theta) = 0, \quad u(r_3, \theta) = 1, \quad (48)$$

we have a closed-form solution

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{\ln 4} \ln \left(\frac{16r^2 + 1 + 8r \cos \theta}{r^2 + 16 + 8r \cos \theta} \right). \quad (49)$$

Under the parameters $r_1 = 4$, $r_2 = 0.8$ and $m = 20$, we solve this problem by the method in Section III, whose result along a circle with the radius $r = 1.4$ is shown in Fig. 6(a) by the dashed line, while the exact solution calculated from Eq. (49) is represented by the solid line. The numerical error of u is shown in Fig. 6(b), which can be seen is smaller than 5×10^{-7} . When we apply the Trefftz method on this case under the parameters $r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = 1$ and $m = 11$ ($m = 20$ cannot be applicable), the solution as shown in Fig. 6(a) by the dashed-dotted line largely deviates from the exact solution. For this example both two methods spent the CPU time smaller than one second.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have proposed a new meshless method to calculate the solutions of Laplace equation in the arbitrary doubly connected plane domains. To tackle of the ill-conditioning of the Trefftz method, we have employed two characteristic length factors into the basis functions. This type formulation is a very natural result in terms of the concept of artificial circles. The numerical examples show that the present method is highly accurate; for example, for the first two examples the accuracy can be achieved is upto 10^{-11} of the absolute error. The new method possesses several advantages, including mesh-free, singularity-free, non-illposedness, accuracy and stability, deserved its extension to other elliptic type boundary-value problems.

References

1. S. N. Atluri, H. G. Kim, and J. Y. Cho, A critical assessment of the truly meshless local Petrov–Galerkin (MLPG), and local boundary integral equation (LBIE) methods, *Comput Mech* 24 (1999), 348–372.
2. S. N. Atluri and S. Shen, The meshless local Petrov–Galerkin (MLPG) method: a simple & less-costly alternative to the finite element and boundary element methods, *Comput Model Eng Sci* 3 (2002), 11–51.

14 LIU

3. L. Landweber and M. Macagno, Irrotational flow about ship forms, IIHR Report No. 123, Iowa University, 1969.
4. W. S. Hwang and Y. Y. Huang, Nonsingular direct formulation of boundary integral equations for potential flows, *Int J Numer Methods Fluids* 26 (1998), 627–635.
5. C. M. Fan and D. L. Young, Analysis of the 2D Stokes flows by the nonsingular boundary integral equation method, *Int Math J* 2 (2002), 1199–1215.
6. J. M. Chuang, Numerical studies on desingularized Cauchy's formula with applications to interior potential problems, *Int J Numer Methods Eng* 46 (1999), 805–824.
7. Y. Cao, W. W. Schultz, and R. F. Beck, Three-dimensional desingularized boundary integral methods for potential problems, *Int J Numer Methods Fluids* 12 (1991), 785–803.
8. F. Lalli, On the accuracy of the desingularized boundary integral method in free surface flow problems, *Int J Numer Methods Fluids* 25 (1991), 1163–1184.
9. Y. L. Zhang, K. S. Yeo, B. C. Khoo, and W. K. Chong, Simulation of three-dimensional bubbles using desingularized boundary integral method, *Int J Numer Methods Fluids* 31 (1999), 1311–1320.
10. S. A. Young, A solution method for two-dimensional potential flow about bodies with smooth surfaces by direct use of the boundary integral equation, *Commun Numer Methods Eng* 15 (1999), 469–478.
11. D. L. Young, K. H. Chen, and C. W. Lee, Novel meshless method for solving the potential problems with arbitrary domain, *J Comput Phys* 209 (2005), 290–321.
12. E. Kita and N. Kamiya, Trefftz method: an overview, *Adv Eng Softw* 24 (1995), 3–12.
13. G. Fairweather and A. Karageorghis, The method of fundamental solutions for elliptic boundary value problems, *Adv Comput Math* 9 (1998), 69–95.
14. I. Saavedra and H. Power, Multipole fast algorithm for the least-squares approach of the method of fundamental solutions for three-dimensional harmonic problems, *Numer Methods Partial Differential Equations* 19 (2003), 828–845.
15. M. A. Golberg and C. S. Chen, *Discrete projection methods for integral equations*, Computational Mechanics Publications, Southampton, 1996.
16. C. S. Chen, H. A. Cho, and M. A. Golberg, Some comments on the ill-conditioning of the method of fundamental solutions, *Eng Anal Boundary Elem* 30 (2006), 405–410.
17. W. Chen and M. Tanaka, A meshless, integration-free, and boundary-only RBF technique, *Comput Math Appl* 43 (2002), 379–391.
18. B. Jin and W. Chen, Boundary knot method based on geodesic distance for anisotropic problems, *J Comput Phys* 215 (2006), 614–629.
19. J. T. Chen, M. H. Chang, K. H. Chen, and S. R. Lin, The boundary collocation method with meshless concept for acoustic eigenanalysis of two-dimensional cavities using radial basis function, *J Sound Vibration* 257 (2002), 667–711.
20. J. T. Chen, M. H. Chang, K. H. Chen, and I. L. Chen, Boundary collocation method for acoustic eigenanalysis of three-dimensional cavities using radial basis function, *Comput Mech* 29 (2002), 392–408.
21. C. S. Liu, A meshless regularized integral equations method (MRIEM) for solving the Laplace equation in the doubly connected domain, *Proceeding of the 2nd Asia-Pacific International Conference on Computational Methods in Engineering*, Nov. 14–16, 2006.
22. Z. C. Li, T. T. Lu, H. T. Huang, and A. H. D. Cheng, Trefftz, collocation, and other boundary methods—a comparison, *Numer Methods Partial Differential Equations* 23 (2006), 93–144.

AUTHOR QUERIES

AQ1: Kindly check whether the received date is OK as given.

AQ2: Kindly check whether the short title is OK as given.



Author Proof